

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/822,295	JALLAL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anne Holleran	1642

All Participants:

(1) Anne Holleran. (3) ____.
 (2) Eve Frank. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 13 August 2004

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(e)

Claims discussed:

12, 27-31, 33 and 34

Prior art documents discussed:

Cheng (U.S. Patent 6,238,902)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants' representative authorized examiner's amendment to the claims. Prosecution on the merits is closed. Claims 12, 23-28 and 31, 33 and 34 are allowable

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)